# FOUR CORNERS' PERCEPTION ON FLORICULTURE INDUSTRY -AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS 


#### Abstract

Floriculture may be defined as "the art and knowledge of growing flowers to perfection". Being a branch of Horticulture, it deals with the cultivation of flowers and ornamental crops from the time of planting to the time of harvesting. It also includes production of planting materials through seeds, cutting, budding, grafting, etc up to the marketing of the flower and flower produce. Floriculture is a part of horticulture, an agricultural activity which has been on the rise during the past decades. It is different from traditional culture, not only due to the increased sales revenues, but also because flowers are ever more present in daily life, which has created opportunities for engaging in floriculture as a legitimate source of income. The aim of the paper is to present the current situation in the area of floriculture in the India and in West Bengal, as well as to draw attention to the relationship between the different factors at the various level of this industry. Floriculture is not desirable widespread in India, even though there are quite advantageous climatic conditions, fertile land, tradition and experience, but not enough innovation, inadequate equipment, technological lagging behind in production and insufficient harmonization. The opportunity of this sector is very bright in near future as Indian Economy is one of the Largest Agriculture Based Economy. Through this research work I have tried my level best to describe the industry by analysing it from Four Corners' i.e 1. Production Scenario 2.Wholesale Market 3. Retail Market 4.Consumer Behaviour(While Purchasing Flowers). I think that this research work will help the policy makers, entrepreneurs and investors in their course of activity.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Floriculture also known as flower farming refers to the cultivation of flowering and ornamental plants. Although flowers have been an integral part of the Indian society and were cultivated for various purposes ranging from aesthetic to social and religious purposes, the commercial floriculture industry has been of recent origin. A strong increase in the demand for cut and loose flowers has made floriculture as one of the important commercial trades in Indian agriculture.

The Indian Floriculture market was worth INR 130 Billion in 2019. The market is further projected to reach INR 394 Billion by 2025, at a CAGR of 20\% during 2020-2025. While exports remain a key motivator for cultivators, the domestic demand for flowers is also increasing exponentially, especially in the metros and larger cities. Modernization and growing western cultural influences have driven consumers to buy flowers on a number of occasions like Durga puja, marriages, anniversaries, birthdays, friendship day, Mother's Day, Father's Day, Valentine's Day etc. Large scale consumption of flowers is also carried out throughout the country during religious festivals. The metros and the larger Indian cities currently represent major consumers of flowers in the country.

Table 1: Import of Flowers in India (Qty in MT Value in Rs. Lacs)

| Country | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Qty | Value | Qty | Value | Qty | Value |
| Netherland | 1373.83 | 3408.34 | 1947.41 | 4386.40 | 1988.73 | 4327.04 |
| Thailand | 1549.72 | 4488.82 | 1611.16 | 4146.13 | 2016.29 | 3732.17 |
| China | 589.06 | 1105.11 | 508.71 | 1113.16 | 1014.22 | 1605.50 |
| Italy | 258.75 | 298.54 | 257.80 | 1236.87 | 232.02 | 1123.81 |
| Iran | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.09 | 13.47 | 130.00 | 411.87 |
| U K | 22.71 | 235.71 | 33.57 | 331.67 | 22.39 | 354.59 |
| New Zealand | 51.37 | 116.42 | 88.51 | 226.64 | 111.01 | 285.45 |
| Israel | 25.99 | 205.46 | 18.88 | 170.47 | 24.48 | 235.54 |
| Kenya | 22.96 | 71.38 | 45.09 | 229.34 | 51.53 | 217.03 |
| U S A | 21.40 | 181.27 | 12.20 | 121.23 | 16.36 | 178.64 |
| Others | 853.02 | 1328.99 | 1034.93 | 1405.63 | 636.14 | 1174.46 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7 6 8 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 4 0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 6 0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 8 1 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 4 3 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 6 4 6 . 1 0}$ |

Data Source: APEDA website
Apart from aesthetic and decorative purposes, a significant amount of flower consumption also takes place in industrial applications. This includes flavors, fragrances, natural color, medicines, etc. We expect the consumption of these products to increase continuously during the forecast period creating a positive impact on the floriculture industry. Government of India has identified floriculture as a Sunrise industry and accorded it $100 \%$ export oriented status. Owing to steady increase in demand of flower floriculture has become one of the important Commercial trades in Agriculture. Hence commercial floriculture has emerged as hi-tech activity-taking place under controlled climatic conditions inside greenhouse. Floriculture in India is being viewed as a high growth Industry. Commercial floriculture is becoming important from the export angle. The liberalization of industrial and
trade policies paved the way for development of export-oriented production of cut flowers. The new seed policy had already made it feasible to import planting material of international varieties. It has been found that commercial floriculture has higher potential per unit area than most of the field crops and is therefore a lucrative business. Indian floriculture industry has been shifting from traditional flowers to cut flowers for export purposes. The liberalized economy has given an impetus to the Indian entrepreneurs for establishing export oriented floriculture units under controlled climatic conditions.

Table 2: Export of Floriculture from India - Country-Wise (Qty in MT Value in Rs. Lacs)

| Country | 2018-19 |  | 2019- <br> 20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Qty | Value | Qty | Value | Qty | Value |
| United States | 5166.27 | 9679.11 | 3762.70 | 9902.23 | 3489.02 | 10497.73 |
| Netherland | 1883.90 | 5567.55 | 1809.32 | 5750.38 | 1855.00 | 6563.30 |
| United Kingdom | 2200.49 | 5603.43 | 2457.84 | 6838.96 | 2116.98 | 5320.13 |
| Germany | 2338.56 | 5692.88 | 2439.66 | 6241.66 | 1347.90 | 3667.89 |
| United Arab Emirates | 1550.28 | 2784.06 | 1438.84 | 3449.30 | 1211.45 | 2929.65 |
| Canada | 943.92 | 1736.13 | 748.52 | 1792.93 | 1133.35 | 2127.90 |
| Italy | 444.91 | 1135.73 | 555.10 | 1609.93 | 522.49 | 1661.09 |
| Singapore | 1176.73 | 1428.87 | 1347.01 | 1654.38 | 1956.81 | 1545.90 |
| Japan | 421.97 | 1596.52 | 365.05 | 1479.33 | 284.04 | 1360.83 |
| Malaysia | 419.89 | 888.40 | 520.82 | 1202.27 | 793.46 | 1325.61 |
| Australia | 397.44 | 1393.24 | 250.07 | 1306.72 | 198.74 | 1312.95 |
| Spain | 175.03 | 395.41 | 186.31 | 660.82 | 250.41 | 1032.57 |
| Others | 5572.27 | 10439.97 | 6139.11 | 12781.82 | 5543.82 | 11385.67 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 6 9 1 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 3 4 1 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 2 0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 6 7 0 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7 0 3 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 7 3 1 . 2 2}$ |

Data Source: APEDA website.

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Bhanumathy et al (2003), in their project report analyzed the marketing cost, margins and producers share in the consumer's rupee. Primary data of 2000-01 was used for the study. The main marketing channels were producers, commission agent, wholesales, retailer and consumer. Price spread analysis indicated that the producers received a gross price of Rs 650 per quintal. Share in the consumer's rupee was $45.65 \%$. Marketing cost accounted for $22.80 \%$ of consumer's rupee including cost incurred by the farmer and $31.55 \%$ of consumer rupee was the marketing margin for intermediaries.

According to Pawar (2007), the agro-climatic conditions of India permit the cultivation of a variety of flowers India is already known for its traditional flower cultivation. Now with the introduction of the centrally sponsored horticulture schemes, commercial cultivation of cut flowers such as roses, orchids, gladiolus, carnation, anthurium, gerbera and lilies, under protected cultivation has become popular. Further he adds that during 2006-07 India produced 0.83 million metric.

Dutta (Mat 5th, 2018) in his paper 'Mullick Ghat: The Flower market by the Ganges' has described how the riveting aura of the flower market has made him believe the myth that he kept listening for years. While experiencing the fanciest street in the flower market he also mentioned about the various kinds of flowers like Marigold, tulips, night jasmine which soothed his eyes and articulated their beauties.

According to Dr. Bandopadhyay and Ghosh (2019) in their paper "A General Analysis of Asia's Largest Flower Market Mullick Ghat Flower Market" found about the infrastructural bottlenecks of a Wholesale market of Kolkata and, she also describes about the current scenario of the Producers, Wholesalers \& retailers.

According to Research and Markets.com (2002)-Based on the flower type, the market has been segmented as cut and loose flowers. On the basis of end-user, the market has been segmented as retail and institutional users. On the basis of distribution channel, the market has been segmented as unorganized retail, supermarkets and hypermarkets, florists, online and others. Unorganized retail currently accounts for the highest share of the market. On the basis of application, aesthetic and decorative applications currently account for the highest share. Other applications include flavors and fragrances, natural colours, medicines and others. The regional segmentation of the market has been provided both in terms of production and consumption. Tamil Nadu is currently the largest producer of flowers in India. The competitive landscape of the market has also been examined in the report and the profiles of key players have also been provided.

## III. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

## The followings are the main objective of this paper:

## 1. At Producer's Level

- To explain the status of production \& productivity.(state wise)
- To analyze the inter-relationship between the factors of production. (Area, total production etc.)
- To propose how the productivity can increase.


## 2. At Wholesaler's Level

- To analyze the relationship between market supply and whole sale price.
- To know the variability and consistency of price \& supply of different crops and the possible reasons behind them.
- To analyze the bottle necks of a standard whole-sale flower market.
- To analyze the possible causes of price fluctuation in a calendar year.


## 3. Retailer's Level

- To calculate the price movement.
- To propose how they can increase the efficiency of their business.


## 4. Consumer's Level

- To know the main factors which affect the consumer's purchasing nature.
- To know which is the main factor for demand fluctuation.
- To know the average purchasing capacity of a individual consumer.
- To know the overall point of view of the consumers.
- To collect the suggestions from the consumer for the betterment of the industry.


## IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Area of the study topic

- Whole-sale market: Mullick Ghat Flower Market (Asia’s Largest Flower Market)
- Consumer: Consumers of Flower Market of Kolkata \& Howrah.

2. Sample size: I have chosen $\mathbf{3 0}$ wholesalers and $\mathbf{8 0}$ consumers for my study.

## 3. Sources of data collection

- Primary data: This data is especially by using questionnaire collected in 2 types- 1 . Field survey\& 2.Google forms. The Data of wholesalers and retailers are collected from field survey and the data of Consumers are collected by using Google Forms.
- Secondary data: Secondary data is collected from website of Horticulture Board \& Horticulture Statistics at a glance. For the period 2018-2021.


## V. METHOD OF ANALYSIS

In order to carry out the study of the behaviour of the industry, The whole study is bifurcated in main 4 parts 1. Production Scenario 2.Wholesale Market 3. Retail Market 4.Consumer Behaviour(While Purchasing Flowers)._At first we have collect some information from the horticulture statistics at a glance-2019 like production, productivity, land used of all flowers produced in India. In the very beginning of the project work we have shown the overall scenario of flower production, export and productivity. This data will be again used to do some statistical operation using the excel formulas such as correlation between the land used and production using. The productivity of flower in West Bengal in respect of the highest and the average productivity are also shown by some charts.

Then in the next wholesales \& retail section we have gather the average per month wholesale prices and retail prices with the average arrival (supply) of tree main flowers

1. MARIGOLD, 2.ROSE LOOSE AND 3.TUBE ROSE_prevailing in the Kolkata metropolitan from the National horticulture board website. This data will be presented in a table and then we do same operation in the producer part except here we will do regression analysis between the wholesale prices(y, dependent) and arrival/Supply ( $x$, independent) using excel formulas. Moreover the Monthly indices have'en calculated. Beside this some primary perception have been gathered from a standard wholesale flower marketMullickghat Flower market (Asia's largest) to analyze the main bottlenecks faced by the traders in their daily course of business In the next section of retailers, retail prices
contribution \&PV ration will be shown in the table for the $\mathbf{4}$ years. Then the same operations like SD, mean, Coefficient of variation, correlation between the PV ratio and wholesale prices \& at last the chain based index no have been calculated to show the price movement of the three crops.

These very steps will be followed in case of other 2 flowers and at last a questionnaire will be distributed randomly but to a single member of a family for collection of responses on various questions related to personal vegetables buying behavior $\mathbf{8 0}$ peoples have filled up the form and these responses will be duly recorded and presented with the help of various charts and graphs.

## VI. ANALYSIS

## Production and Producer

1. State wise productivity of loose flowers (MT/Ha): Productivity implies the total production/total area employed. This is a measure to see the effectiveness of production in comparative parameter.


See-Table No 22 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's calculation
From the data presented in table no 22 a column chart have been presented to show the highest lowest and fastest growing and fastest declining productivity here from the data we can see Sikkim attains the highest productivity in floriculture sector.

Here we saw Andhra Pradesh is growing very well over three years the possible reason of growths are good climatic condition, proper irrigation facility, skilled farmers, optimum use of fertilizers and pesticides.

Himachal Pradesh and Nagaland is losing the capacity of growth. According to the PRINTMEDIA Himachal Pradesh's farmers have been traditionally credited with turning the state's rugged mountain valleys into India's best farming hubs, and with bringing in the apple revolution and high-yield cash crops like flowers. But the success story has now rapidly gone downhill as the share of agriculture in Himachal Pradesh's economy has dropped to just 8 per cent in 2020-21, compared to 26.5 per cent in 1992-93.

Large tracts of fertile land have been abandoned by farmers in the state for being uneconomical.

One of the most important reasons behind this decline is - It's a reflection on farmers shifting to alternate means of livelihood and also migrating to urban towns. The younger generations are particularly uninterested in taking up agriculturerelated activities, and are on the look-out for government jobs or contractual salaries.

Here the data of West Bengal also have been presented the growth in West Bengal floriculture sector is more than the average growth of the country but is not as much desirable the govt should take initiative for the optimum growth of flower cultivation.
2. Production share of leading flower producing states 2020-2021: From the data presented in table no 23 (Annexure 1) we have prepared a pie chart to show the all over production scenario.


See-Table No 23 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's calculation
Here we can see that the high productivity does not signifies the greater share in production, Tamil Nadu got the first position in flower production \& West Bengal holds the fourth position but West Bengal can increase its production more - but the main bottle necks faced by the Florists of West Bengal are - According to various newspapers and journals

- Lack of Funding
- lack of research and development initiatives
- Bleak monsoon.
- Lack of govt initiatives. etc.

3. Relationship of area and production from state wise data for three years 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21: we know area is one of the most important factor for increasing production so here I have judged the relationship of these two variables to know how the production will increase.

We have got the statistics about the aggregate area \& production for the year 2018-19, 2019-20 \&2020-21. Now to judge the strength of association of area \& production I have used correlation technique and I have observed the certain things. For the purpose of calculation_X axis is taken for Area of production of 3 years \& (independent variable) Y axis is taken for production of 3 years(dependent variable).

Correlation: Correlation, in the finance and investment industries, is a statistic that measures the degree to which two securities move in relation to each other. Correlations are used in advanced portfolio management, computed as the correlation coefficient, which has a value that must fall between -1.0 and +1.0 .

Formula used- $n \sum d_{x} d_{y}-\left(\sum d_{x}\right)\left(\sum d_{y}\right) / \sqrt{ } n \sum d_{x}^{2}-\left(\sum d x\right)^{2} . \vee n \sum d_{y}{ }^{2}-\left(\sum d_{y}\right)^{2}$ where $d_{x}=X-A$ and $d_{y}=X$ $B$ where $A, B$ are assumed mean of $X \& Y$.

The above data is collected from-Horticulture statistics at a glance 2021.


See-Table No 24 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's calculation

## Correlation Co-Efficient 0.587191583

Here we saw the value of correlation is more than 0.5 but less than 1 so we can say that - there is a positive correlation between area and production. But the value of correlation co-efficient is near to 0.5 so it is MODERATELY CORRELATED.

So we observe might be area is not the only factor for increasing production. Irrigation facility, fertilizers, climatic positions are also important factor for increasing the production, but we should also say that area is also an important factor at all.

## 4. Other important factors of flower production

Table 3: Estimated Irrigated and UN Irrigated Area by Size of Operational Holdings under Floriculture
Social Group: All social group area (in hectares)

| SI. <br> No. | Size Class (in Ha.) | No. of <br> Holdings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Irrigated <br> Area | Unirrigated <br> Area | Total |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Below 0.5 | 720738 | 13465.33 | 3574.74 | 17040.07 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | (0.5-1.0) | 78971 | 13169.12 | 3602.10 | 16771.22 |
|  | Marginal | 799709 | 26634.45 | 7176.84 | 33811.29 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 . 0 - 2 . 0 )}$ | 58196 | 17151.32 | 5636.92 | 22788.24 |
|  | Small | 58196 | 17151.32 | 5636.92 | 22788.24 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 . 0 - 3 . 0 )}$ | 20816 | 8254.86 | 3272.17 | 11527.03 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 . 0 - 4 . 0 )}$ | 7677 | 3891.86 | 1673.07 | 5564.93 |
|  | Semi-Medium | 28493 | 12146.72 | 4945.24 | 17091.96 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 . 0 - 5 . 0})$ | 4227 | 2287.64 | 1382.74 | 3670.38 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 . 0 - 7 . 5 )}$ | 3888 | 2524.87 | 1503.61 | 4028.48 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{( 7 . 5 - 1 0 . 0 )}$ | 1246 | 834.10 | 813.07 | 1647.17 |
|  | Medium | 9361 | 5646.61 | 3699.42 | 9346.03 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 0 . 0 - 2 0 . 0 )}$ | 1014 | 562.87 | 666.23 | 1229.10 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ \& Above | 304 | 737.42 | 266.63 | 1004.05 |
|  | Large | 1318 | 1300.29 | 932.86 | 2233.15 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | All Classes | 897077 | 62879.39 | 22391.28 | 85270.67 |

Source: Agriculture Census 2020-21

Table 4: Consumption of fertilizers for flowers

| SI. <br> No. | CROP | Gross Cropped Area (in ha.) |  |  | Area Treated with Chemical Fertilizer (In (ha.) |  | Area Treated with: (in ha.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 弟 | $\begin{gathered} \overline{5} \\ \end{gathered}$ |  | 哥 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ज्ञा } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{i}{\sum}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Orchids | 277 | 1464 | 1741 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 |
| 2 | Rose | 2813 | 25 | 2838 | 2498 | 3 | 2501 | 1067 | 43 | 130 | 1452 | 0 |
| 3 | Carnation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Marigold | 3007 | 903 | 3910 | 2027 | 880 | 2907 | 1684 | 0 | 209 | 2304 | 0 |
| 5 | Jasmine | 11480 | 593 | 12073 | 10397 | 556 | 10953 | 6339 | 7 | 12 | 9385 | 412 |
| 6 | Chrysanthemum | 3537 | 89 | 3626 | 3234 | 89 | 3323 | 1412 | 176 | 0 | 958 | 36 |
| 7 | Gladiolus | 0 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| 8 | Tuberose | 1344 | 91 | 1435 | 1178 | 0 | 1178 | 967 | 0 | 0 | 882 | 67 |
| 9 | Gerbera | 329 | 0 | 329 | 329 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 0 |
| 10 | Glardiya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Other Flowers | 32897 | 10719 | 43616 | 27846 | 964 | 28810 | 13181 | 1929 | 934 | 26079 | 948 |
|  | Total Floriculture | 55774 | 13916 | 69690 | 47564 | 2520 | 50084 | 24741 | 2155 | 1300 | 41527 | 1463 |

Source: Agriculture Census 2020-21

Wholesale Market:_For the second part of the analysis I have chosen Asia’s Largest Flower Market - Mullick Ghat Flower Market, as my sample area of study beside that I have taken the help of the website of NATIONAL HORTICULTURE BOARD, from there I have got the aggregate supply and the wholesale price of Center- Kolkata, for the period jan2016-dec 2019 and with the help of that, I have done several statistics to judge the wholesale market structure of Kolkata.
5. Variability and consistency of price \& supply: There are various types of flowers are being traded in the markets of Kolkata, for my study purpose I have chosen 3 flowers crops-

- Marigold
- Rose loose
- Tube Rose

Method used: For judging the variability and consistency of price and Supply we have used the popular technique of dispersion i.e Standard Deviation and Co-efficient of variation-

Standard deviation: a quantity expressing by how much the members of a group differ from the mean value for the group.

Formula: SD- $\sigma \sqrt{ } \sum X^{2} / N-\left(\sum X / N\right)^{2} \quad$ OR $\quad \sqrt{ } \sum d^{2} / N-\left(\sum d / N\right)^{2}$ where $d=x-A(A=$ assumed mean $)$
COV: The coefficient of variation $(\mathrm{CV})$ is the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean. The higher the coefficient of variation, the greater the level of dispersion around the mean. It is generally expressed as a percentage. Without units, it allows for comparison between distributions of values whose scales of measurement are not comparable.

## Formula: COV- SD/MEAN

Table 5: Marigold (supply in MT and Price/Qt)

| Measures of <br> Dispersion | Whole Sale Price |  |  |  | Agg. Supply |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| SD | $\mathbf{1 2 1 9 . 9 9 9 5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 7 . 6 7 9 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 5 3 . 2 1 8 6 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 8 . 1 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 3 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 2 . 9 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 1 8 . 8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 0 . 7 6 3 4}$ |
| COV | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 8 9 6 5 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 2 2 5 6 6 2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7 2 5 1 9 3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 6 6 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2 5 9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1 8 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 4 6 9 9}$ |



See-Table No 25 (Annexure -I)Source: Author's calculation

Table 6: ROSE LOOSE (Supply in MT and Price/Qt)

| Measures of <br> Dispersion | Whole Sale Price |  |  |  | Agg. Supply |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| SD | $\mathbf{4 7 6 1 . 7 7 1 3 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8 3 . 3 5 3 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 5 5 . 9 0 1 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 8 9 . 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 1 0 2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 . 5 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 6 1 3 9 8}$ |
| COV | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2 2 6 8 4 3 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4 9 6 3 7 2 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 2 2 6 2 3 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 4 8 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 3 8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 0 6 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 3 0 9 8}$ |



See-Table No 26 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's calculation
Table 7: TUBE ROSE (supply in MT and Price/Qt)

| Measures <br> of <br> Dispersion | Whole Sale Price |  |  |  | Agg. Supply |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 2017 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| SD | $\mathbf{4 3 8 7 . 3 3 9 1 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 7 9 . 6 8 0 7 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 0 1 . 1 2 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 5 6 . 9 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 4 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 2 . 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 2 . 5 5 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 4 . 4 9 2 8}$ |
| COV | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3 6 4 4 7 9 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 4 5 9 6 4 4 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 3 2 7 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9 8 6 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 1 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 6 8 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 8 1 6 4}$ |



See-Table No 27(Annexure -I) Source: Author's calculation
Here we can observe if the standard deviation of any data set of any tear is high then the COV is also high as the general rule of dispersion. Lower COV indicates the greater
consistency of any data set. Again we can say that the variability and consistency of price and supply are fluctuating over the years.
6. Relationship between price and supply: From the data presented in table no-25-27ANNEXURE-I have done the calculation of correlation to judge the strength of association of price \& supply. For the calculation purpose we have Chosen $X$ axis for Wholesale supply of 48 months (2018Jan-2021Dec) \& the $Y$ axis is chosen by me for the purpose of Whole sale price of 24 months (2018Jan-2021Dec).

Correlation: Correlation, in the finance and investment industries, is a statistic that measures the degree to which two securities move in relation to each other. Correlations are used in advanced portfolio management, computed as the correlation coefficient, which has a value that must fall between -1.0 and +1.0 .

Formula used: $n \sum d_{x} d_{y}-\left(\sum d_{x}\right)\left(\sum d_{y}\right) / \sqrt{ } n \sum d_{x}{ }^{2}-\left(\sum d x\right)^{2} . \sqrt{ } n \sum d_{y}{ }^{2}-\left(\sum d_{y}\right)^{2}$ where $d_{x}=X$ - $A$ and $d_{y}=X-B$ where $A, B$ are assumed mean of $X \& Y$.

## Marigold



See-Table No 25 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's calculation

| Correlation Coefficient | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 1 1 5 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Here we saw the value of correlation is less than 0 but more than -1 so we can say that - there is a negative correlation between Supply \&Price But the value of correlation co-efficient is near to -0.5 so it is MODERATELY NEGATIVE.

For the further analysis we have gone through the Regression Analysis of the Data set.

| Intercept | 6173.92 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slope | -0.039418 |
| Straight Line Equation. | $\mathbf{Y}=6173.92-0.039418 \mathbf{X}$ |

See-Table No 25 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation

From the detail analysis stated in table no 7 we can't accept the null hypothesis as the value of P Statistics is lower than 0.05 and we also reject the null hypothesis as the value of observed $f$ is Higher than the Significance F.

## Rose Loose



See-Table No 26 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation

> | Correlation Coefficient | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 0 2 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Here we saw the value of correlation is less than 1 but more than -1 so we can say that - there is a negative correlation between Supply \&Price . But the value of correlation co-efficient is near to 0 i.e. origins so it is SLIDE NEGATIVE

For the further analysis we have gone through the Regression Analysis of the Data set.

| Intercept | 16117.28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slope | -0.535687 |
| Straight Line Equation | $\mathbf{Y}=16117.28-0.535687 \mathbf{x}$ |

See-Table No 26 (Annexure -I)Source: Author's Calculation
From the detail analysis stated in table no 8 we can accept the null hypothesis as the value of P Statistics is lower than 0.05 and we also accept the null hypothesis as the value of Significance $f$ is Higher than the observed F.

So we observe, there is ainverse relationship between Supply and price. So if the supply increases then the price will fall a little \& if the supply decreases then the price will increase a little, according to the analysis. The increased supply leads a reduction in price level.

## Tube Rose



See-Table No 27 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation
Here we saw the value of correlation is less than 1 but more than -1 so we can say that there is a negative correlation between Supply \& Price (as we observe in law of supply). But the value of correlation co-efficient is near to -0.5 so it is MODERATELY NEGATIVE.

For the further analysis we have gone through the Regression Analysis of the Data set.

| Intercept | 15186.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Slope | -3.28571 |
| Straight Line Equation | $\mathbf{Y}=15186.3-0.328571 \mathbf{x}$ |

See-Table No 27 (Annexure -I ) Source: Author's Calculation
From the detail analysis stated in table no 9 we can't accept the null hypothesis as the value of P Statistics is lower than 0.05 and we also reject the null hypothesis as the value of observed $f$ is Higher than the Significance F.

## Assumption- The arrival of the crops = Supply of the crops.

7. Calculation of monthly indices (from monthly arrival/supply of crops): According to the time series analysis technique we have analyse the monthly indices using simple average method. The following steps have been adhered for calculation-

- Step1: First of all we have taken the monthly arrivals of the crops for the period 2018-19.
- Step2: Then we have calculated the mean of the monthly arrivals (i.e average of arrivals of January 2018- January2021)
- Step3: Then we have calculated the Grand Average using the monthly averages.
- Step4: Then the monthly indices have been calculated using the formula -


## SI= Monthly average/grand average $* 100$

Table 8: Marigold (Arrival in Tons)

| Month | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | Average <br> of <br> Monthly <br> Arrivals. | Grand <br> Average of <br> Arrival | Monthly Indices <br> (Using Multiplicative <br> Model)-I |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 5090 | 3058 | 5274 | 4154 | 4394 | 3821.916667 | 115 |
| February | 4510 | 4280 | 18210 | 4470 | 7868 | 3821.916667 | 206 |
| March | 3276 | 4980 | 5560 | 2734 | 4138 | 3821.916667 | 108 |
| April | 3394 | 3868 | 3428 | 3084 | 3444 | 3821.916667 | 90.1 |
| May | 3028 | 3856 | 3396 | 2944 | 3306 | 3821.916667 | 86.5 |
| June | 3258 | 4066 | 2436 | 2824 | 3146 | 3821.916667 | 82.3 |
| July | 3240 | 2524 | 1176 | 2082 | 2256 | 3821.916667 | 59 |
| August | 3200 | 2284 | 1780 | 2318 | 2396 | 3821.916667 | 62.7 |
| September | 4180 | 3402 | 3154 | 2260 | 3249 | 3821.916667 | 85 |
| October | 5100 | 4890 | 3410 | 2308 | 3927 | 3821.916667 | 103 |
| November | 4830 | 4050 | 3178 | 3192 | 3813 | 3821.916667 | 99.8 |
| December | 4550 | 4580 | 2228 | 4358 | 3929 | 3821.916667 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## MONTHLY <br> INDICES (USING MULTIPLICATIVE MODEL) MARIGOLD



See-Table No 25 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation
Table 9: Rose Loose (Arrival in Tons)

| Month | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | Average of <br> Months | Grand <br> Average | Seasonal Indices (Using <br> Multiplicative Model)-I |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 342 | 300 | 412 | 418 | 368 | 327 | 113 |
| February | 242 | 244 | 484 | 472 | 360.5 | 327 | 110 |
| March | 320 | 320 | 486 | 404 | 382.5 | 327 | 117 |
| April | 204 | 272 | 390 | 210 | 269 | 327 | 82.3 |
| May | 504 | 342 | 384 | 306 | 384 | 327 | 117 |
| June | 200 | 378 | 308 | 346 | 308 | 327 | 94.2 |
| July | 248 | 296 | 236 | 310 | 272.5 | 327 | 83.3 |
| August | 180 | 196 | 198 | 392 | 241.5 | 327 | 73.9 |
| September | 190 | 148 | 234 | 394 | 241.5 | 327 | 73.9 |
| October | 472 | 350 | 512 | 362 | 424 | 327 | 130 |
| November | 260 | 228 | 726 | 298 | 378 | 327 | 116 |
| December | 224 | 382 | 220 | 352 | 294.5 | 327 | 90.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 0 0}$ |



See-Table No 26 (Annexure -I ) Source: Author's Calculation
Table 10: Tube Rose (Arrival in Tons)

| Month | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | Average <br> of <br> Months | Grand <br> Average | Seasonal Indices <br> Using Multiplicative <br> Model) $\mathbf{- I}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 2942 | 1042 | 1472 | 584 | 1510 | 1623.166667 | 93 |
| February | 2710 | 738 | 1484 | 790 | 1430.5 | 1623.166667 | 88.1 |
| March | 1874 | 1550 | 1310 | 942 | 1419 | 1623.166667 | 87.4 |
| April | 2202 | 1436 | 806 | 642 | 1271.5 | 1623.166667 | 78.3 |
| May | 2124 | 2268 | 1420 | 994 | 1701.5 | 1623.166667 | 105 |
| June | 2698 | 2350 | 1246 | 1230 | 1881 | 1623.166667 | 116 |
| July | 2688 | 2406 | 1690 | 1342 | 2031.5 | 1623.166667 | 125 |
| August | 1790 | 1862 | 556 | 1192 | 1350 | 1623.166667 | 83.2 |
| September | 2094 | 1562 | 866 | 836 | 1339.5 | 1623.166667 | 82.5 |
| October | 2508 | 2512 | 1022 | 1308 | 1837.5 | 1623.166667 | 113 |
| November | 2460 | 2312 | 1004 | 1260 | 1759 | 1623.166667 | 108 |
| December | 2732 | 2462 | 1034 | 1560 | 1947 | 1623.166667 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 0 0}$ |


| MONTHLY INDICES (USING <br> MULTIPLICATIVE MODEL) TUBE ROSE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

See-Table No 27 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation

Here if we consider the arrival=demand for crops then we can see the type of crop manipulates the demand schedule i.e some flower have high demand in some particular month,

## Socio Economic Position of Standard Wholesale Market- Mullick Ghat Flower Market

## 8. Position of the shops

## Table 11: Position of Shops

| Type of Shop | No of Shops |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pucca | 0 |
| Kacha | 250 |
| Open | 120 |

Data source: Agriculture market directoty market survey report 2020-21

(Source: Author's caculation)
From the above data we have seen that the most number of shops are Kacha in nature and the no of Pucca shops are 0 moreover there are a large no of open shops. For this reason the Vendors and Customers faced too much trouble in the time of RAINY SEASON moreover - the flowers get damaged for improper placing and for that marginal vendors have a loss in their day to day trading.

## 9. Other important facts (Facilities and services)

Table 12

| Facilities \& Services | Yes/No | Facilities \& Services | Yes/No |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Bank | Yes | Agril Input Shop | No |
| Sundry Shop | No | Electricity | Yes |
| P.O. | Yes | Drinking Water | Yes |
| Canteen | Yes | Shed Of Animals | No |
| Farmer's Dormitory | Yes | Water Through | No |
| Weight Bridge | Yes | Loading Platform | Yes |
| Agri Clinic | No | Extension Space | Yes |
| Grading Equipment | No | Parking | Yes |
| Toilet | Yes (Ladies) | Market Building | Yes |
| Sweeping Cleaning | Yes | Shopping Mall | No |
| Water Logging | Yes | Waste Box | Yes |
| Auction Platform | No | Cold Store(In 5km) | No |

Data Source: Agriculture market directory market survey report 2020-21

Table 13

| Nearest | Name | Use For Inflow/Outflow |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| District H.Q. | Kolkata $(2 \mathrm{~km})$ | ---- |
| Rly Station | Barabazar( 1 Km$)$ | No |
| Bus Stop | Barabazar( $(0.80 \mathrm{Km})$ | ---- |
| Pucca Road | Strand Road $(0.80 \mathrm{~km})$ | Yes |
| Nh/Sh | Sh $1(10 \mathrm{Km})$ | Yes |
| Ferry Ghat | Fairly | Yes |
| Arrival Modes- Other, Thela, Boat |  |  |
| Despatch Mode- Other, Van, Auto, Cycle, Minidoor |  |  |

Data Source: Agriculture market directory market survey report 2020-21
From the data presented above the main things that we can see is, there are some important thins not present in the market place. According to my perception there should be a AGRI CLINIC, and another important thing is the facility of cold storage. Cold chain system is one of the most important factor in agriculture based market place, to keep the materials fresh and well as they are perishable in nature.

## 10. Age Distribution of the Sellers



Source: Author's calculation

## See-Table No 14 (Annexure -I)

Table 14

| Age Group | No of People |
| :---: | :---: |
| $>30$ | 4 |
| $30-60$ | 20 |
| $<60$ | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |

Data Source: Own Sample Study
From the above data we have seen that the most number of people sellers belongs to the second age group of $30-60$ years. So we can observe that the no of new comers or
young people are less in no, and the no of old aged people are also small in no- So we can say the industry needed a good amount of strength and power.
11. Problem faced by the sellers in day to day business activity: Mullickghat Flower Market Is Asia’s Largest Floral Market And It Is The Central Trading center of flowers in Eastern India, in spite of that the market is facing various issues the planning of the market structure is not desirable as much the following bottle necks are faced by the traders in their day to day business activity.


From the above data we can see the bottlenecks of the traders in their day to day course of action. The shed problem is one of the biggest problems in their activity in the Rainy season the problem gets unbearable; the buyers face too much problem in the market.
(More data can be collected from this market place but for the Covid-19 pandemic the data of the standard wholesale market have been collected up to this)

## Retail Market

12. Relation among wholesale price, retail price and contribution earned by the retailers: as like the previous part of the analysis I have taken 3 flowers as my area of study, and now I want to know the relationship between the cost, sales and contribution from the data I have collected. Here we know wholesale price is the cost in the hands of the retailer, and we also know that the retail price is the sales in hands of the retailer. Moreover we can calculate the contribution (SALES-VARIABLE COST) and profit
volume ratio (CONTRIBUTION/SALES*100) from these two data. know I will calculate the relationship or strength of association among Sales, variable cost, and contribution and $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{v}$ ratio.

Table 15: Marigold (All The Cost Are/Qt)

| Correlation Between V. $\operatorname{Cost}(\mathbf{X})$ <br> Contribution Amount(Y). | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4 5 4 4 9 9 5 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Correlation Between V. Cost (X) And P/V Ratio. | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 9 5 1 2}$ |
| Correlation Between Cost(X) And Sales(Y) | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 7 5 8 9 5 6 4}$ |

See-Table No 31 (Annexure -I ) Source: Author's Calculation
Table 16: Rose Loose (All the Cost are/Qt)

| Correlation Between V. $\operatorname{Cost}(\mathbf{X})$ And Contribution Amount(Y). | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 5 5 8 5 3 0 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Correlation Between V.Cost(X) And P/V Ratio. | $\mathbf{- 0 . 7 2 2 8 2}$ |
| Correlation Between Cost(X) And Sales(Y) | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9 6 2 3 8 9 5 6}$ |

See-Table No 32 (Annexure -I) Source-Author's Calculation
Table 17: Tube Rose (All the Cost are/Qt)

| Correlation Between V. Cost(X) And Contribution Amount(Y). | $\mathbf{0 . 8 1 3 6 3 3 8 3 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Correlation Between V. Cost (X) And P/V Ratio. | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 0 5 8 2}$ |
| Correlation Between Cost(X) And Sales(Y) | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 9 8 0 3 6 9 6}$ |

See-Table No 33 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation
From the data presented above the main things that we can see is, the Cost and contribution amount is Positively correlatedin every cases it means if the amount of cost increases then the amount of contribution will also increase and vice versa, But from the analysis we can also see that, the variable cost and profit volume ratio is Negatively correlated. It implies with increasing cost, the amount of profit will increase but the \% of profit will decrease. So we can say that the retailers are always concerned about amount of profit but they are not concerned about the \% of profit.

Assumption: Here we assume there are no other variable costs in the hands of the retailer.
13. Index no analysis (using retail prices): An Index Number Is the Measure of Change in a Variable (Or Group of variables) over time. It is typically used in economics to measure trends in a wide variety of areas. Index numbers are one of the most used statistical tools in economics.

Index numbers are not directly measurable, but represent general, relative changes. They are typically expressed as percents

## Formula Used-

Link Relatives $=$ Price of the Year/Price of Prev Year *100
Chain Base Index of Any Year-Link Index of the Year*Chain Base Index of Prev Year/100.

Table 18: Marigolds (Price/QT)

| Year | Average Retail <br> Price | Link <br> Relatives | Chain Base <br> Index No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-15$ | 4639 | 100 | 100.00 |
| $2014-15$ | 3422 | 73.77 | 73.77 |
| $2015-16$ | 4220 | 123.32 | 90.97 |
| $2016-17$ | 4125 | 97.75 | $\mathbf{8 8 . 9 2}$ |
| $2017-18$ | 5301 | 128.51 | 114.27 |
| $2018-19$ | 5268 | $\mathbf{9 9 . 3 8}$ | 113.56 |
| $2019-20$ | 7364 | 139.79 | 158.74 |
| $2020-21$ | 6304 | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5 . 8 9}$ |



See-Table No 34 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation
From the data presented above we can see that, the price have a increasing trend. In 2019-20 the price is at the peak level. The price has a slide decrease in the year 202021 w.r.t 2019-20From the above table we can see the price movement of last 8 years.

Table 19: Tube Rose (Price/QT)

| Year | Average Retail <br> Price | Link <br> Relatives | Chain Base <br> Index No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-14$ | 16666 | 100 | 100.00 |
| $2014-15$ | 12409 | 74.46 | 74.46 |
| $2015-16$ | 20813 | 167.73 | 124.88 |
| $2016-17$ | 18402 | 88.42 | 110.42 |
| $2017-18$ | 16467 | 89.48 | 98.81 |
| $2018-19$ | 13067 | 79.35 | 78.41 |
| $2019-20$ | 17388 | 133.07 | 104.33 |
| $2020-21$ | 11953 | 68.74 | 71.72 |



See-Table No 35 (Annexure -I ) Source: Author's Calculation
From the data presented above we can see that, the price havea increasing trend. In 2015-16 the price is at the peak level. The price has a decrease in the year 2020-21 w.r.t 2019-20. From the above table we can see the price movement of last 8 years.

Table 20: Rose Loose (Price/QT)

| Year | Average Retail Price | Link Relatives | Chain Base Index No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-14$ | 14041 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| $2014-15$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 2 6}$ |
| $2015-16$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 . 3 0}$ |
| $2016-17$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 7 9}$ |
| $2017-18$ | $\mathbf{1 3 6 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9 4}$ |
| $2018-19$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 . 8 9}$ |
| $2019-20$ | 18333 | $\mathbf{1 2 4 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 . 5 7}$ |
| $2020-21$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 . 8 8}$ |



See-Table No 36 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation

From the data presented above we can see that, the price havea increasing trend. In 2020-21 the price is at the peak level. From the above table we can see the price movement of last 8 years.
14. Yearly movement of price: From the data presented in table no 34-36 I have prepared a Graphical trend-line of price, from this analysis we can observe the point of price hikes, And from the pre field survey I will Try to find out the causes of price hikes.


See-Table No 34 (Annexure -I) Source: Author's Calculation
Over the years we can observe there is a price hike in the time of autumn (September -October). The main reason of this price hike is Durga Puja one of the biggest festival in Kolkata. Another reason of price hike is shortage of production. As Marigold grow well in the time of Winter so in the beginning of the summer there is a extreme price hike, If the production of this flower is enough then there will be no price hike in the beginning of the summer.


Figure 20:
See-Table No 35 (Annexure -I ) Source: Author's Calculation

Over the years we can observe there is a price hike in the time of autumn (September -October). The main reason of this price hike is Durga Puja one of the biggest festival in Kolkata. Another reason of price hike is the demand in wedding seasons.


See-Table No 36(Annexure -I ) Source: Author's Calculation
Over the years we can observe that the fluctuations of price are not as much in comparison with MARIGOLD. The main points of price hikes are February and there is a steady increase of price in the months of September- December. According to my perception and pre-field study the main reasons of price hike in the time of February is - Saraswati puja, Valentine's Day etc, and in the time of September- December the main reasons of price hike is Wedding seasons, and ceremonial demand.

Observation: So we observe the festive demand is one of the main cause or major factor for increasing the price. The factors of price hike can be categorized as-

- Shortage of production
- change in weather
- Unpredictable rainfall
- High Demand in wedding seasons.
- High Demand in the time of Special puja.

Consumer behavior: After analyzing the perception of producers, retailers and wholesalers. I have taken the opinion of $\mathbf{8 0}$ Consumers about their behaviour while purchasing the Flowers. The data is collected through Google forms.

(Source: Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above we can clearly say that no's no of people purchase flowers in weekly manner.

(Source: Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above Religious traditions are the main reason for purchasing flowers of the consumers, as India follows the traditions and customs in the religious aspect. We can elaborate it in the following manner.

The flowers like Dhutra, Akanda, (local name) neither have the fragrance nor the beauty as like the other flowers, but these are only used by the people as the Hinduism have a old tradition of worshipping Lord Shiva with the above said flowers. So we can say the most no of people purchase flowers for religious belief, and there are lot more examples lying in the society.

(Source: Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above the most know of people purchase the flowers for their home, very often they purchase the flowers for Mandir, Masjid or Church, people often purchase the flowers for other places like their business houses etc.

(Source: Author's Caculation)
Interpretation: From the data presented above we can say that the most no of consumers purchase the flowers from the small retailers or large retailers, and the $\%$ of direct selling from wholesale market is very mere.

(Source: Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above we can again say the religious belief holds the highest response and we can say the Maximum portion of the Floriculture industry is driven by Religious factor

## 15. Type of flowers consumed for various purposes:



(Source- Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above graphs we can see that the purpose decides the demand for individual type of Flowers, and the purposes are contradictive with each other. ExampleChina rose is highly demanded for Religious purpose but it is not demanded for Decoration purpose by the consumers, so we can say purpose of use decides the buying pattern.

(Source- Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above we can clearly say that Most of the people generally purchase flowers for their home or personal places. But we can observe that the people purchase flowers in the special occasions also like Durga puja \& Saraswati puja. So we can say that the festive rend stipulates the demand of the consumers.


Interpretation: From the data presented above we can say about $30-40 \%$ people judge the personal requirements but almost $80 \%$ people judge the freshness before purchasing flower from shops.

Table 21: Mean Expenses of the Individual Consumers

| Monthly <br> Expenditure(Rs) | Mid Value(X) | Frequency $(\boldsymbol{F})$ | Deviation <br> D=X-A/I | $\boldsymbol{F} \mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-500$ | 250 | 69 | -1 | -69 |
| $500-1000$ | 750 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| $1000-1500$ | 1250 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $1500-2000$ | 1750 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | $\sum \boldsymbol{F}-\mathbf{8 0}$ |  | $+\sum \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}=\mathbf{- 6 5}$ |

## A.M. $=\mathbf{A}+\sum \mathbf{f d}^{\prime} / \mathbf{N} * \mathbf{i}=343.75$

So the average expenses incurred by the individual consumers are near about $\mathbf{3 4 4}$ Rs.

(Source- Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above we can see that most of the family incurred an expense of near about 344 Rs for purchasing the flowers from the market.


From the data presented above we can clearly say that the increasing price don't suppress the purchasing quantity as the religious belief is the base of the demand schedule.

(Source- Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above we can clearly say that most of the people purchase the flowers in Thursday as the people in Bengal have a culture of laxmi puja in Thursday.

(Source- Author's Caculation)
From the data presented above we can clearly say that the most no of people is against the online marketing of flower.

## VII. FINDINGS

From the whole project we have seen the overall perception of the Floriculture industry from different aspects and we also came to know about the inter relation of pricing factors, export condition, consumer behavior and the overall scenario of the floriculture industry as a whole now here are some main findings and recommendation are given below-

1. The productivity of floriculture crops not only depends on the Area but also depends on the various other aspects like irrigation, climatic position etc.
2. More than $50 \%$ share of aggregate production of flowers is captured by 5 states.
3. Too much arrival of floriculture crops leads to the reduction in price level.
4. We can say that the various type of flowers have different demand schedule, in the religious festive season the demand of flowers increases every year.
5. MullickGhat flower market is too small w.r.t the buyer seller attendance in the market.
6. Govt. needs to focus more about this place's (MullickGhat flower market) infrastructure \& facilities for the sellers of the market.
7. The number of new entrepreneurs is very low in this sector.
8. According to the analysis we can say that the retailers are concerned about the amount of profit not about the $\%$ of profit.
9. Marigold and rose loose have a consistent price growth in the time period (2013-14 to 2020-21).
10. The crops attain the highest price in the time of festive season and also in the time of newly arrival in the market.
11. Most of the consumers mainly purchase flowers for religious purpose so we can say the sector is driven by religious factor.
12. According to the analysis the average expenditure incurred by the individual customer for purchasing flower is Rs- $\mathbf{3 4 4 . 0 0}$

## VIII. POTENTIAL OF FLORICULTURE IN INDIA

Floriculture has the great potential to develop and flourish in India due to the following opportunities:

1. India's location in tropical and subtropical zone provides for varied agro-climatic conditions. This varies agro-climatic conditions helps in growing any kind of flowers in one or other part of the country, year round.
2. India's geographical location between two major export markets viz, Europe and AsiaPacific provides an ample amount of opportunities for the floriculture industry.
3. World witnesses scarcity of flowers during winter because of more number of festivals (Christmas, New Year, Valentine Day, International events) during winter and freezing conditions across major production center, Europe. There exists a gap which India can easily fulfill.
4. Availability of semi-skilled manpower at lower rates.
5. Export friendly policies of the government and development of model floriculture center, floriculture Infrastructure Park for the promotion of exports.
6. Flowers are deeply intertwined with Indian culture either festivals or ceremonies from birth to death, flowers occupy a central position. This provides an ample amount of opportunities.

## IX. CHALLENGES IN EXPLOITING THIS POTENTIAL

1. Fragmentation of land holdings and the lack of institutional support for the leasing of land is a bottleneck in achieving the scale required to make floriculture profitable.
2. To capture the international market, India needs to attain quality standards. This requires flowers to be grown in greenhouses where temperature, humidity, and other atmospheric parameters can be manipulated to suit the needs. Currently, less than 2 percent of the total area under flower farming in India has poly-housing facilities.
3. Lack of institutional support through suitable market survey and related information.
4. High airfreight cost in India makes Indian goods less competitive when compared with goods from African and Latin American countries.
5. Lack of an integrated infrastructure from the hinterland to export centers makes the business expensive.
6. High initial investments act as a barrier for the marginal and small farmers who are dependent on hand to mouth subsistence.
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## ANNEXURE: I

Table 22: State Wise Productivity of Loose Flowers- (Mt/Ha)-

| State wise productivity of loose flowers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. No | States | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 8.07 | 14.16 | 16.67 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 0.47 | 0.47 | 1.5 |
| 3 | Assam | 6.67 | 6.71 | 6.71 |
| 4 | Bihar | 12.01 | 12.01 | 10.17 |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 3.78 | 4.06 | 3.6 |
| 6 | Gujarat | 9.45 | 9.49 | 7.45 |
| 7 | Haryana | 10.48 | 10.2 | 10.34 |
| 8 | Himachal Pradesh | 32.55 | 25.33 | 19.17 |
| 9 | Jammu \& Kashmir | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 10 | Jharkhand | 4.85 | 12.7 | 5.62 |
| 11 | Karnataka | 7.28 | 4.56 | 7.37 |
| 12 | Kerala | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Madhya Pradesh | 11.73 | 8.31 | 12.41 |
| 14 | Maharashtra | 5.97 | 5.28 | 5.3 |
| 15 | Manipur | 0.39 | 0.68 | 3.01 |
| 16 | Meghalaya | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Mizoram | 4.32 | 2.3 | 0 |
| 18 | Nagaland | 12.72 | 0.31 | 0 |
| 19 | Odisha | 3.78 | 3.78 | 3.77 |
| 20 | Punjab | 6.23 | 6.25 | 5.15 |
| 21 | Rajasthan | 1.76 | 1.49 | 2.02 |
| 22 | Sikkim | 68.18 | 68.18 | 68.18 |
| 23 | Tamil Nadu | 13.81 | 12.87 | 14.1 |
| 24 | Telengana | 3.77 | 3.41 | 4.83 |
| 25 | Uttar Pradesh | 1.99 | 2.19 | 0.16 |
| 26 | Uttara Khand | 1.14 | 1.48 | 1.66 |
| 27 | West Bengal | 2.72 | 2.74 | 2.8 |
|  | Other | $\mathbf{4 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6}$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{5 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 6}$ |
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Table 23: Production Share of Leading Flower Producing States 2020-21

| Sl No | Name of States/UTS | Production (In'000 Mt) | \% Share |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tamil Nadu | 482.52 | 17.327042 |
| 2 | Andhra Pradesh | 428.95 | 15.403371 |
| 3 | Karnataka | 323.86 | 11.629644 |
| 4 | Madhya Pradesh | 282.79 | 10.154842 |
| 5 | West Bengal | 279.35 | 10.031313 |
| 6 | Chhatisgarh | 227.03 | 8.1525291 |
| 7 | Gujarat | 152.16 | 5.4639864 |
| 8 | Uttar Pradesh | 111.62 | 4.0082161 |
| 9 | Assam | 90.01 | 3.2322122 |
| 10 | Maharashtra | 86.06 | 3.0903698 |
|  | Others | $\mathbf{3 2 0 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 0 6 8 3 4}$ |
|  | All India Total | $\mathbf{2 7 8 4 . 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
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Table 24: State Wise Area and Production of Flowers

|  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States/UTS | Area | Production | Area | Production | Area | Production |
| Andhra Pradesh | 18.25 | 147.28 | 19.03 | 263.53 | 25.74 | 428.95 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 |
| Assam | 5.05 | 33.68 | 5.03 | 33.76 | 5.06 | 33.93 |
| Bihar | 0.66 | 7.88 | 0.66 | 7.96 | 0.55 | 5.54 |
| Chhattisgarh | 11.43 | 43.2 | 11.92 | 48.38 | 13.18 | 47.45 |
| Gujarat | 19.5 | 184.16 | 20.64 | 195.98 | 20.43 | 152.16 |
| Haryana | 6.02 | 63.03 | 5.51 | 56.23 | 5.49 | 56.73 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 0.72 | 23.4 | 0.71 | 17.95 | 0.64 | 12.35 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 49.09 | 29.41 | 49.58 | 29.7 | 49.58 | 29.7 |
| Jharkhand | 0.32 | 1.53 | 1.05 | 13.33 | 0.8 | 4.47 |
| Karnataka | 31.68 | 230.46 | 52.37 | 238.73 | 31.36 | 230.96 |
| Kerala | 12.88 | 0.02 | 16.05 | 0.03 | 38.25 | 0.08 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 18.42 | 216 | 17.67 | 146.76 | 19.79 | 245.55 |
| Maharashtra | 12 | 71.62 | 6.78 | 35.78 | 5.49 | 29.08 |
| Manipur | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.49 |
| Meghalaya | 0.06 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 | 0.01 | 0 |
| Mizoram | 0.13 | 0.56 | 0.2 | 0.46 | 0.24 | 0 |
| Nagaland | 0.07 | 0.89 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0 |
| Odisha | 6.56 | 24.78 | 6.57 | 24.82 | 6.61 | 24.91 |
| Punjab | 2 | 12.46 | 2.05 | 12.82 | 2.07 | 10.67 |
| Rajasthan | 3.33 | 5.85 | 2.71 | 4.03 | 3.49 | 7.07 |
| Sikkim | 0.24 | 16.5 | 0.24 | 16.5 | 0.24 | 16.5 |
| Tamil Nadu | 30.59 | 422.44 | 32.37 | 416.56 | 34.23 | 482.52 |
| Telengana | 3.71 | 13.98 | 2.95 | 10.06 | 3.71 | 17.92 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 17.2 | 34.31 | 21 | 45.97 | 21.22 | 46.42 |
| Uttara Khand | 1.54 | 1.75 | 1.4 | 2.07 | 1.53 | 2.54 |
| West Bengal | 25.63 | 69.62 | 26.04 | 71.27 | 26.78 | 74.88 |
| Other | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 7 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 6 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 9 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 2 . 0 3}$ |
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Table 25: Wholesale Price and Arrival of Marigold
(Supply in Mt and Price/Qt)

|  | Whole Sale Price |  |  |  | Agg. Arrival |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Month | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| January | 4037 | 5219 | 2394 | 2816 | 5090 | 3058 | 5274 | 4154 |
| February | 3888 | 2837 | 2027 | 3194 | 4510 | 4280 | 18210 | 4470 |
| March | 6815 | 2996 | 2175 | 4679 | 3276 | 4980 | 5560 | 2734 |
| April | 4679 | 2620 | 2190 | 3188 | 3394 | 3868 | 3428 | 3084 |
| May | 4500 | 2381 | 3773 | 4017 | 3028 | 3856 | 3396 | 2944 |
| June | 4221 | 3121 | 3882 | 3050 | 3258 | 4066 | 2436 | 2824 |
| July | 6596 | 7888 | 8060 | 5116 | 3240 | 2524 | 1176 | 2082 |
| August | 6292 | 9004 | 13885 | 6170 | 3200 | 2284 | 1780 | 2318 |
| September | 4093 | 4990 | 4741 | 4250 | 4180 | 3402 | 3154 | 2260 |
| October | 7093 | 2907 | 6875 | 7885 | 5100 | 4890 | 3410 | 2308 |
| November | 4854 | 3357 | 4405 | 4410 | 4830 | 4050 | 3178 | 3192 |
| December | 4196 | 2917 | 7210 | 2142 | 4550 | 4580 | 2228 | 4358 |

SOURCEhttp://nhb.gov.in/OnlineClient/MonthwiseAnnualPriceandArrivalReport.aspx?enc= 3ZOO8K5CzcdC/Yq6HcdIxJ4o5jmAcGG5QGUXX3B1AP4= RETRIVED AT-15 ${ }^{\mathrm{TH}}$ MARCH 2022 11.04 PM

Table 26: Wholesale price and arrival rose loose
(Supply in MT and Price/Qt)

| Rose Loose | Whole Sale Price |  |  |  | Agg. Arrival |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| January | 17111 | 15221 | 11635 | 10616 | 342 | 300 | 412 | 418 |
| February | 28042 | 18870 | 17698 | 15208 | 242 | 244 | 484 | 472 |
| March | 14760 | 13130 | 8827 | 6679 | 320 | 320 | 486 | 404 |
| April | 16500 | 11978 | 8226 | 8452 | 204 | 272 | 390 | 210 |
| May | 19241 | 10731 | 14644 | 6140 | 504 | 342 | 384 | 306 |
| June | 22063 | 8808 | 6630 | 4125 | 200 | 378 | 308 | 346 |
| July | 18173 | 10548 | 6169 | 7540 | 248 | 296 | 236 | 310 |
| August | 20000 | 8550 | 11183 | 7590 | 180 | 196 | 198 | 392 |
| September | 20045 | 17190 | 12630 | 12030 | 190 | 148 | 234 | 394 |
| October | 24428 | 16280 | 16204 | 17067 | 472 | 350 | 512 | 362 |
| November | 28000 | 12418 | 17690 | 14417 | 260 | 228 | 726 | 298 |
| December | 28239 | 14106 | 19379 | 14337 | 224 | 382 | 220 | 352 |

SOURCEhttp://nhb.gov.in/OnlineClient/MonthwiseAnnualPriceandArrivalReport.aspx?enc= 3ZOO8K5CzcdC/Yq6HcdIxJ4o5jmAcGG5QGUXX3B1AP4= RETRIVED AT-15 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ MARCH 2022 11.04 PM

Table 27: Wholesale Price and Arrival Tube Rose
(Supply in MT and Price/Qt)

| Tube Rose | Whole Sale Price |  |  |  | Agg. Supply |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| January | 6106 | 16519 | 9481 | 12650 | 2942 | 1042 | 1472 | 584 |
| February | 4152 | 21614 | 12958 | 13167 | 2710 | 738 | 1484 | 790 |
| March | 10625 | 16696 | 10769 | 8746 | 1874 | 1550 | 1310 | 942 |
| April | 6188 | 14978 | 9500 | 11429 | 2202 | 1436 | 806 | 642 |
| May | 6060 | 7365 | 5548 | 5813 | 2124 | 2268 | 1420 | 994 |
| June | 4365 | 6868 | 6340 | 5019 | 2698 | 2350 | 1246 | 1230 |
| July | 3833 | 6471 | 5033 | 4920 | 2688 | 2406 | 1690 | 1342 |
| August | 12625 | 12880 | 13558 | 8580 | 1790 | 1862 | 556 | 1192 |
| September | 13663 | 18381 | 12348 | 11260 | 2094 | 1562 | 866 | 836 |
| October | 17190 | 5650 | 17083 | 16979 | 2508 | 2512 | 1022 | 1308 |
| November | 8635 | 4048 | 10200 | 8520 | 2460 | 2312 | 1004 | 1260 |
| December | 4700 | 4356 | 13067 | 6010 | 2732 | 2462 | 1034 | 1560 |

Source:
http://nhb.gov.in/OnlineClient/MonthwiseAnnualPriceandArrivalReport.aspx?enc=3ZOO8K 5CzcdC/Yq6HcdIxJ4o5jmAcGG5QGUXX3B1AP4= RETRIVED AT-15 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ MARCH 2022 11.04 PM

Table 28: Regression Analysis of Wholesale Price and Arrival of Marigold
(Supply in Qt and Price/Qt)

| SUMMARY OUTPUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R | 0.411562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square | 0.169383 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.151326 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 2073.681 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | df | SS | MS | F | Significance F |  |  |
| Regression | 1 | 40337745 | 40337745 | 9.380541 | 0.003658177 |  |  |
| Residual | 46 | 1.98E+08 | 4300151 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 47 | 2.38E+08 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat | P-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95.0\% |
| Intercept | 6173.92 | 575.7914 | 10.72249 | 4.23E-14 | 5014.91199 | 7332.928117 | 5014.91199 |
| X Variable 1 | -0.03942 | 0.01287 | -3.06277 | 0.003658 | -0.06532414 | -0.013511918 | -0.06532414 |

Table 29: Regression Analysis of Wholesale Price and Arrival of Rose Loose
(Supply in Qt and Price/Qt)

| SUMMARY OUTPUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 2 3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0 4 6 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R <br> Square | $\mathbf{- 0 . 0 1 1 0 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | $\mathbf{5 9 2 1 . 5 2 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | df | SS | MS | F | Significance $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |  |
| Regression | 1 | 17058747 | $\mathbf{1 7 0 5 8 7 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8 6 4 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8 9 0 0 4}$ |  |  |  |
| Residual | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 1 E + 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 6 4 4 0 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 1.63E+09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat | P-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95.0\% | Upper 95.0\% |
| Intercept | $\mathbf{1 6 1 1 7 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 2 . 8 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 7 5 4 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 3 E - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 7 7 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4 5 7 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 7 7 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4 5 7 . 2 2}$ |
| X Variable 1 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 3 5 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 8 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 9 7 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 8 9 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 0 8 1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 0 8 1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 1 0 2 5}$ |

(Source: Authors calculation)
Table 30: Regression Analysis of Wholesale Price and Arrival of Tube Rose
(Supply in Qt and Price/Qt)

| SUMMARY OUTPUT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple R | 0.490919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R Square | 0.241002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.224502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 4054.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANOVA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | df | SS | MS | F | Significance F |  |  |  |
| Regression | 1 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 14.60619 | 0.000396 |  |  |  |
| Residual | 46 | $7.56 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 16437755 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 47 | $9.96 \mathrm{E}+08$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | t Stat | P-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95.0\% | Upper 95.0\% |
| Intercept | 15186.3 | 1513.216 | 10.03578 | 3.63E-13 | 12140.35 | 18232.25 | 12140.35 | 18232.25 |
| X Variable 1 | -0.32857 | 0.085973 | -3.8218 | 0.000396 | -0.50163 | -0.15552 | -0.50163 | -0.15552 |

(Source: Authors calculation)

Table 31: Statement Showing Per Qt. Variable Cost, Sales and Contribution of Marigold
(All the cost are/Qt)

| Year | Months | V. Cost (Wholesale Price) | Sales (Retail Price) | Contribution (Sales-VC) | PV Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | January | 2816 | 3832 | 1016 | 26.51357 |
| 2018 | February | 3194 | 4175 | 981 | 23.49701 |
| 2018 | March | 4679 | 5758 | 1079 | 18.73915 |
| 2018 | April | 3188 | 4081 | 893 | 21.88189 |
| 2018 | May | 4017 | 5021 | 1004 | 19.99602 |
| 2018 | June | 3050 | 3996 | 946 | 23.67367 |
| 2018 | July | 5116 | 6380 | 1264 | 19.81191 |
| 2018 | August | 6170 | 7732 | 1562 | 20.20176 |
| 2018 | September | 4250 | 5260 | 1010 | 19.20152 |
| 2018 | October | 7885 | 11229 | 3344 | 29.78003 |
| 2018 | November | 4410 | 5660 | 1250 | 22.08481 |
| 2018 | December | 2142 | 3146 | 1004 | 31.91354 |
| 2019 | January | 2394 | 4754 | 2360 | 49.64241 |
| 2019 | February | 2027 | 2871 | 844 | 29.39742 |
| 2019 | March | 2175 | 3088 | 913 | 29.56606 |
| 2019 | April | 2190 | 3195 | 1005 | 31.4554 |
| 2019 | May | 3773 | 6608 | 2835 | 42.90254 |
| 2019 | June | 3882 | 4932 | 1050 | 21.28954 |
| 2019 | July | 8060 | 9531 | 1471 | 15.43385 |
| 2019 | August | 13885 | 17800 | 3915 | 21.99438 |
| 2019 | September | 4741 | 6370 | 1629 | 25.573 |
| 2019 | October | 6875 | 9123 | 2248 | 24.64102 |
| 2019 | November | 4405 | 5929 | 1524 | 25.70417 |
| 2019 | December | 7210 | 9375 | 2165 | 23.09333 |
| 2020 | January | 5219 | 6731 | 1512 | 22.46323 |
| 2020 | February | 2837 | 4248 | 1411 | 33.21563 |
| 2020 | March | 2996 | 4522 | 1526 | 33.74613 |
| 2020 | April | 2620 | 4130 | 1510 | 36.56174 |
| 2020 | May | 2381 | 3854 | 1473 | 38.22003 |
| 2020 | June | 3121 | 4783 | 1662 | 34.74807 |
| 2020 | July | 7888 | 10269 | 2381 | 23.18629 |
| 2020 | August | 9004 | 11580 | 2576 | 22.24525 |
| 2020 | September | 4990 | 6762 | 1772 | 26.20526 |
| 2020 | October | 2907 | 4529 | 1622 | 35.81365 |
| 2020 | November | 3357 | 4943 | 1586 | 32.08578 |
| 2020 | December | 2917 | 4392 | 1475 | 33.58379 |
| 2021 | January | 4037 | 5630 | 1593 | 28.29485 |
| 2021 | February | 3888 | 5563 | 1675 | 30.10965 |
| 2021 | March | 6815 | 9217 | 2402 | 26.06054 |
| 2021 | April | 4679 | 6717 | 2038 | 30.34093 |
| 2021 | May | 4500 | 6283 | 1783 | 28.37816 |
| 2021 | June | 4221 | 5971 | 1750 | 29.30832 |
| 2021 | July | 6596 | 8788 | 2192 | 24.9431 |
| 2021 | August | 6292 | 8063 | 1771 | 21.96453 |
| 2021 | September | 4093 | 5705 | 1612 | 28.25592 |
| 2021 | October | 7093 | 9696 | 2603 | 26.84612 |
| 2021 | November | 4854 | 6958 | 2104 | 30.23857 |
| 2021 | December | 4196 | 6000 | 1804 | 30.06667 |

(Source: Authors calculation)

Table 32: Statement Showing Per Qt. Variable Cost, Sales and Contribution of Rose Loose.
(All the cost are/Qt)

| Year | Months | V. Cost <br> (Wholesale Price) | Sales (Retail Price) | Contribution (Sales-Vc) | Pv Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | January | 10616 | 15020 | 4404 | 29.32091 |
| 2018 | February | 15208 | 20750 | 5542 | 26.70843 |
| 2018 | March | 6679 | 9750 | 3071 | 31.49744 |
| 2018 | April | 8452 | 11319 | 2867 | 25.32909 |
| 2018 | May | 6140 | 9167 | 3027 | 33.02062 |
| 2018 | June | 4125 | 6069 | 1944 | 32.03164 |
| 2018 | July | 7540 | 10108 | 2568 | 25.40562 |
| 2018 | August | 7590 | 10388 | 2798 | 26.93492 |
| 2018 | September | 12030 | 15920 | 3890 | 24.43467 |
| 2018 | October | 17067 | 22229 | 5162 | 23.22192 |
| 2018 | November | 14417 | 18896 | 4479 | 23.70343 |
| 2018 | December | 14337 | 19538 | 5201 | 26.61992 |
| 2019 | January | 11635 | 15962 | 4327 | 27.10813 |
| 2019 | February | 17698 | 24208 | 6510 | 26.89194 |
| 2019 | March | 8827 | 12923 | 4096 | 31.69543 |
| 2019 | April | 8226 | 12357 | 4131 | 33.43044 |
| 2019 | May | 14644 | 20250 | 5606 | 27.68395 |
| 2019 | June | 6630 | 10060 | 3430 | 34.09543 |
| 2019 | July | 6169 | 9096 | 2927 | 32.17898 |
| 2019 | August | 11183 | 15846 | 4663 | 29.42698 |
| 2019 | September | 12630 | 17739 | 5109 | 28.80095 |
| 2019 | October | 16204 | 21852 | 5648 | 25.8466 |
| 2019 | November | 17690 | 23517 | 5827 | 24.77782 |
| 2019 | December | 19379 | 25333 | 5954 | 23.50294 |
| 2020 | January | 15221 | 20385 | 5164 | 25.33235 |
| 2020 | February | 18870 | 25174 | 6304 | 25.04171 |
| 2020 | March | 13130 | 18391 | 5261 | 28.60638 |
| 2020 | April | 11978 | 17174 | 5196 | 30.25504 |
| 2020 | May | 10731 | 15538 | 4807 | 30.93706 |
| 2020 | June | 8808 | 12481 | 3673 | 29.42873 |
| 2020 | July | 10548 | 14346 | 3798 | 26.47428 |
| 2020 | August | 8550 | 11640 | 3090 | 26.54639 |
| 2020 | September | 17190 | 22048 | 4858 | 22.03374 |
| 2020 | October | 16280 | 21220 | 4940 | 23.27992 |
| 2020 | November | 12418 | 16500 | 4082 | 24.73939 |
| 2020 | December | 14106 | 18596 | 4490 | 24.14498 |
| 2021 | January | 17111 | 22741 | 5630 | 24.75705 |
| 2021 | February | 28042 | 34125 | 6083 | 17.82564 |
| 2021 | March | 14760 | 20860 | 6100 | 29.24257 |
| 2021 | April | 16500 | 22583 | 6083 | 26.93619 |
| 2021 | May | 19241 | 26000 | 6759 | 25.99615 |
| 2021 | June | 22063 | 29750 | 7687 | 25.83866 |
| 2021 | July | 18173 | 25346 | 7173 | 28.30032 |
| 2021 | August | 20000 | 26792 | 6792 | 25.35085 |
| 2021 | September | 20045 | 27682 | 7637 | 27.58832 |
| 2021 | October | 24428 | 32040 | 7612 | 23.7578 |
| 2021 | November | 28000 | 35833 | 7833 | 21.85974 |
| 2021 | December | 28239 | 37348 | 9109 | 24.38953 |

(Source: Authors calculation)

Table 33: Statement Showing Per Qt. Variable Cost, Sales \& Contribution of Tube Rose.
(All the cost are/Qt)

| Year | Months | Wholesale Price | Retail Price | Contribution (Retailer) | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Contribution } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | January | 12650 | 17200 | 4550 | 26.45349 |
| 2018 | February | 13167 | 18958 | 5791 | 30.54647 |
| 2018 | March | 8746 | 12229 | 3483 | 28.48148 |
| 2018 | April | 11429 | 15667 | 4238 | 27.05049 |
| 2018 | May | 5813 | 9146 | 3333 | 36.44216 |
| 2018 | June | 5019 | 7308 | 2289 | 31.32184 |
| 2018 | July | 4920 | 7240 | 2320 | 32.0442 |
| 2018 | August | 8580 | 11940 | 3360 | 28.1407 |
| 2018 | September | 11260 | 15360 | 4100 | 26.69271 |
| 2018 | October | 16979 | 22250 | 5271 | 23.68989 |
| 2018 | November | 8520 | 12360 | 3840 | 31.06796 |
| 2018 | December | 6010 | 9192 | 3182 | 34.61706 |
| 2019 | January | 9481 | 13327 | 3846 | 28.85871 |
| 2019 | February | 12958 | 17500 | 4542 | 25.95429 |
| 2019 | March | 10769 | 15519 | 4750 | 30.60764 |
| 2019 | April | 9500 | 14976 | 5476 | 36.56517 |
| 2019 | May | 5548 | 9135 | 3587 | 39.26656 |
| 2019 | June | 6340 | 9820 | 3480 | 35.43788 |
| 2019 | July | 5033 | 7712 | 2679 | 34.73807 |
| 2019 | August | 13558 | 19173 | 5615 | 29.28598 |
| 2019 | September | 12348 | 17170 | 4822 | 28.08387 |
| 2019 | October | 17083 | 23292 | 6209 | 26.65722 |
| 2019 | November | 10200 | 14440 | 4240 | 29.36288 |
| 2019 | December | 13067 | 19125 | 6058 | 31.67582 |
| 2020 | January | 16519 | 21731 | 5212 | 23.98417 |
| 2020 | February | 21614 | 28859 | 7245 | 25.10482 |
| 2020 | March | 16696 | 23217 | 6521 | 28.08718 |
| 2020 | April | 14978 | 20239 | 5261 | 25.99437 |
| 2020 | May | 7365 | 10808 | 3443 | 31.85603 |
| 2020 | June | 6868 | 10160 | 3292 | 32.40157 |
| 2020 | July | 6471 | 12769 | 6298 | 49.32258 |
| 2020 | August | 12880 | 16840 | 3960 | 23.51544 |
| 2020 | September | 18381 | 23381 | 5000 | 21.38489 |
| 2020 | October | 5650 | 8132 | 2482 | 30.5214 |
| 2020 | November | 4048 | 5848 | 1800 | 30.77975 |
| 2020 | December | 4356 | 5981 | 1625 | 27.16937 |
| 2021 | January | 6106 | 8370 | 2264 | 27.04898 |
| 2021 | February | 4152 | 5633 | 1481 | 26.2915 |
| 2021 | March | 10625 | 15271 | 4646 | 30.42368 |
| 2021 | April | 6188 | 9542 | 3354 | 35.14986 |
| 2021 | May | 6060 | 9020 | 2960 | 32.81596 |
| 2021 | June | 4365 | 6292 | 1927 | 30.62619 |
| 2021 | July | 3833 | 5742 | 1909 | 33.24626 |
| 2021 | August | 12625 | 15792 | 3167 | 20.05446 |
| 2021 | September | 13663 | 18341 | 4678 | 25.5057 |
| 2021 | October | 17190 | 20978 | 3788 | 18.05701 |
| 2021 | November | 8635 | 11667 | 3032 | 25.98783 |
| 2021 | December | 4700 | 6804 | 2104 | 30.92299 |

(Source: Authors calculation)

Table 34: Monthly Average Retail Price/Qt of Marigold (2013-14 To 2020-21)

| Months | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April | $\mathbf{1 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3 0}$ |
| May | $\mathbf{1 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 5 4}$ |
| June | $\mathbf{1 8 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 8 3}$ |
| July | $\mathbf{8 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 6 9}$ |
| August | $\mathbf{1 1 6 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 8 0}$ |
| September | $\mathbf{1 1 6 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 6 2}$ |
| October | $\mathbf{6 1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 2 9}$ |
| November | $\mathbf{1 3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 4 3}$ |
| December | $\mathbf{1 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 9 2}$ |
| January | $\mathbf{2 7 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 3 0}$ |
| February | $\mathbf{4 8 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 6 3}$ |
| March | $\mathbf{2 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 1 7}$ |
| Average of the Years | $\mathbf{4 6 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 0 4}$ |

Source:http://nhb.gov.in/OnlineClient/MonthwiseAnnualPriceandArrivalReport.aspx?enc=3Z OO8K5CzcdC/Yq6HcdIxJ4o5jmAcGG5QGUXX3B1AP4= RETRIVED AT- $15{ }^{\text {TH }} \mathrm{MARCH}$ 2022 11.04 PM

Table 35: Monthly Average Retail Price/Qt of Tube Rose (2013-14 to 2020-21)

| Months | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}-\mathbf{2 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April | $\mathbf{1 8 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 9 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 9}$ |
| May | $\mathbf{1 0 9 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 0 8}$ |
| June | $\mathbf{5 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 6 0}$ |
| July | $\mathbf{8 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 6 9}$ |
| August | $\mathbf{1 9 2 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 4 0}$ |
| September | $\mathbf{1 9 0 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 9 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3 8 1}$ |
| October | $\mathbf{2 0 6 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 3 2}$ |
| November | $\mathbf{1 7 7 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 8 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 4 8}$ |
| December | $\mathbf{1 7 5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 8 1}$ |
| January | $\mathbf{2 1 0 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 7 0}$ |
| February | $\mathbf{2 8 6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 8 5 9}$ | 5633 |
| March | $\mathbf{1 2 4 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 2 7 1}$ |
| Average of the Years | $\mathbf{1 6 6 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 4 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 5 3}$ |

SOURCEhttp://nhb.gov.in/OnlineClient/MonthwiseAnnualPriceandArrivalReport.aspx?enc= 3ZOO8K5CzcdC/Yq6HcdIxJ4o5jmAcGG5QGUXX3B1AP4= RETRIVED AT-15 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$

MARCH 2022 11.04 PM

Table 36: Monthly Average Retail Price/Qt of Rose Loose (2013-14 to 2020-21)

| Months | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}-19$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April | $\mathbf{1 2 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1 7 4}$ |
| May | $\mathbf{9 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 3 8}$ |
| June | $\mathbf{6 8 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 8 1}$ |
| July | $\mathbf{8 7 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 4 6}$ |
| August | $\mathbf{1 3 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6 4 0}$ |
| September | $\mathbf{1 2 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0 4 8}$ |
| October | $\mathbf{1 1 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 2 2 0}$ |
| November | $\mathbf{1 7 7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 4 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 8 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 5 0 0}$ |
| December | $\mathbf{1 7 0 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 7 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 9 6}$ |
| January | $\mathbf{1 7 9 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 4 1}$ |
| February | $\mathbf{3 1 6 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 7 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 2 5}$ |
| March | $\mathbf{8 4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 6 0}$ |
| Average of the Years | $\mathbf{1 4 0 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 6 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 3 9}$ |

SOURCEhttp://nhb.gov.in/OnlineClient/MonthwiseAnnualPriceandArrivalReport.aspx?enc= 3ZOO8K5CzcdC/Yq6HcdIxJ4o5jmAcGG5QGUXX3B1AP4= RETRIVED AT-15 ${ }^{\mathrm{TH}}$ MARCH 2022 11.04 PM

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CONSUMERS

| 1. What is your name? <br> 2. Do you purchase flowers from market? | Mark only one oval. <br> Yes <br> No |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3. How frequently you purchase flowers? | Mark only one oval. <br> Once in a week <br> More than once in a week <br> Once in a month <br> Rarely <br> More than once in a month |
| 4. What is the main thing you consider before purchasing the flower? | Check all that apply. <br> Fragrance <br> Beauty <br> Needed for religious belief. <br> Other: |
| 5. What is the monthly expenditure of your family for purchasing flowers? | Mark only one oval. <br> $0-500$ <br> $501-1000$ <br> $1001-2000$ <br> More than 2000 |
| 6. For which places you generally purchase flowers - | Check all that apply. <br> For Home <br> For Temple/Masjid/church Other |
| 7. For what purpose do you generally purchase flowers? | Check all that apply. <br> For aesthetical belief |


|  | For Decoration purpose <br> For Ceremonial purpose <br> For gift purpose. <br> For Religious purposes (Puja purposes) Other: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8. What type of flowers you generally purchase for religious purpose? | Check all that apply. <br> Marigold <br> Rose <br> Tube rose <br> Jasmine <br> Lotus <br> Orchid <br> Lilies <br> China Rose <br> Bel |
| 9. What type of flowers you Generally purchase for aesthetical purpose- | Check all that apply. <br> Jasmine <br> Rose <br> Marigold <br> Other <br> Tube rose <br> Orchid <br> Lilies <br> Seasonal <br> Lotus |
| 10. What type of flowers you Generally purchase for Gifting and Decoration purpose- | Check all that apply. <br> Lilies <br> Orchids <br> Roses <br> Seasonal flowers <br> Others |
| 11. On which festival/ special day you generally purchase flowers | Check all that apply. <br> Durga Puja <br> Saraswati puja <br> Diwali <br> Eid <br> Navaratri <br> Christmas Eve <br> Valentine's Day <br> Father's Day <br> Mothers Day <br> Special Puja purposes |
| 12. On which day you generally purchase flowers (in a week) | Check all that apply. <br> Monday <br> Tuesday <br> Wednesday <br> Thursday |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Friday } \\
\text { Saturday } \\
\text { Sunday }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { 13. What is the main thing you consider before } \\
\text { purchasing flowers }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Check all that apply. } \\
\text { Freshness } \\
\text { Price } \\
\text { Availability } \\
\text { Your requirements }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { 14. Does increasing price suppress your } \\
\text { purchasing quantity- }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Mark only one oval. } \\
\text { No } \\
\text { Yes } \\
\text { Maybe }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { 15. Do you purchase leafs(like tulsi leaf, Bel leaf, } \\
\text { Mango leaf) along with flowers- }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Yes } \\
\text { No }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { 16. From which place you generally purchase } \\
\text { flowers- }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Check all that apply. } \\
\text { Local market } \\
\text { Small Retailers } \\
\text { Wholesale market } \\
\text { Other sources }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { 17. In which manner you generally purchase } \\
\text { flowers- }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Check all that apply. } \\
\text { Ready Garland } \\
\text { Cut flowers }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Bouquet\end{array}\right]\)| Bunch |
| :--- |

